

Global Economics Analyst

The Potentially Large Effects of Artificial Intelligence on Economic Growth (Briggs/Kodnani)

- n The recent emergence of generative artificial intelligence (AI) raises whether we are on the brink of a rapid acceleration in task automation that will drive labor cost savings and raise productivity. Despite significant uncertainty around the potential of generative AI, its ability to generate content that is indistinguishable from human-created output and to break down communication barriers between humans and machines reflects a major advancement with potentially large macroeconomic effects.
- n If generative AI delivers on its promised capabilities, the labor market could face significant disruption. Using data on occupational tasks in both the US and Europe, we find that roughly two-thirds of current jobs are exposed to some degree of AI automation, and that generative AI could substitute up to one-fourth of current work. Extrapolating our estimates globally suggests that generative AI could expose the equivalent of 300mn full-time jobs to automation.
- n The good news is that worker displacement from automation has historically been offset by creation of new jobs, and the emergence of new occupations following technological innovations accounts for the vast majority of long-run employment growth. The combination of significant labor cost savings, new job creation, and higher productivity for non-displaced workers raises the possibility of a productivity boom that raises economic growth substantially, although the timing of such a boom is hard to predict.
- n We estimate that generative AI could raise annual US labor productivity growth by just under 1½pp over a 10-year period following widespread adoption, although the boost to labor productivity growth could be much smaller or larger depending on the difficulty level of tasks AI will be able to perform and how many jobs are ultimately automated.
- n The boost to global labor productivity could also be economically significant, and we estimate that AI could eventually increase annual global GDP by 7%. Although the impact of AI will ultimately depend on its capability and adoption timeline, this estimate highlights the enormous economic potential of generative AI if it delivers on its promise.

Jan Hatzius
+1(212)902-0394 | jan.hatzius@gs.com
Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC

Joseph Briggs
+1(212)902-2163 |
joseph.briggs@gs.com
Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC

Devesh Kodnani
+1(917)343-9216 |
devesh.kodnani@gs.com
Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC

Giovanni Pierdomenico
+44(20)7051-6807 |
giovanni.pierdomenico@gs.com
Goldman Sachs International

The Potentially Large Effects of Artificial Intelligence on Economic Growth


The recent emergence of generative artificial intelligence (AI) has raised questions around whether we are at the brink of a rapid acceleration in task automation that will significantly save on labor costs, raise labor productivity, and increase the pace of economic growth. In this *Global Economics Analyst*, we provide an overview of AI's potential macroeconomic impacts, and argue that if AI delivers on its promised capabilities, it has the potential to significantly disrupt labor markets and spur global productivity growth over the coming decades.

Generative AI, Explained

We first discuss the current state of AI development and its key capabilities. Exhibit 1 provides an overview of generative AI, in comparison to its predecessor machine learning methods, sometimes referred to as narrow or analytical AI. In our assessment, the generative AI technologies currently in focus, such as ChatGPT, DALL-E, and LaMDA, are distinguished by three main characteristics: 1) their generalized rather than specialized use cases, 2) their ability to generate novel, human-like output rather than merely describe or interpret existing information, and 3) their approachable interfaces that both understand and respond with natural language, images, audio, and video.

The first two advances are key to expanding the set of tasks that AI can perform, while the third is key for determining its adoption timeline. Just as the migration from command line programming (e.g., MS-DOS) to graphical user interfaces (e.g., Windows) enabled the development of programs (e.g., Office) that brought the power of the personal computer to the masses, the intuitive interfaces of the current generation of AI technologies could significantly increase their speed of adoption. For example, ChatGPT surpassed 1mn users in just 5 days, the fastest that any company has ever reached this benchmark.

Exhibit 1: An Overview of Generative AI




Source: Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

Beyond these changes, exponential increases in the raw computing power available¹ has enabled rapid advances in the complexity of tasks AI can perform and the accuracy at which it can perform them. For example, the latest iteration of OpenAI's GPT model, GPT-4, released in March 2023, roughly one year after the GPT-3.5 model currently underlying ChatGPT, finished training, scores 150 points higher on the SAT than its predecessor, is 40% more likely to produce accurate responses, and can now accept visual input (rather than just text).² As Exhibit 2 shows, the algorithms underlying generative AI had begun to surpass human benchmarks for tasks such as image classification and reading comprehension even before these recent advances.

¹ Sevilla et al. (2022) show that since the advent of deep learning approaches in the 2010s, the training compute used to train AI models (i.e., the number of computations used to train AI models) has doubled approximately every 6 months, less than one-third the doubling time implied by Moore's law. See: Sevilla, James et al., Compute Trends Across Three Eras of Machine Learning, 2022 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, 9 March 2022.

² OpenAI, GPT-4 Technical Report, 2023.


Exhibit 2: AI Increasingly Outperforms Human Benchmarks

Source: Stanford Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence, Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

As AI has become increasingly advanced and accessible, interest and investment have followed. Management teams of publicly-traded corporations increasingly cite AI in earnings calls³ and at a rapidly increasing rate⁴ and these indications of interest predict large increases in capital investment at the company level (Exhibit 3). As of 2021, US and global private investment in AI totaled \$53bn and \$94bn respectively⁵ each up more than 1½-fold in real terms from 1½ years prior⁶ and if investment continues to increase at the more modest pace that software investment grew at during the 1990s, US investment in AI alone could approach 1% of US GDP by 2030.

³ Zhang, Daniel et al., "The AI Index 2022 Annual Report," Stanford Institute for Human-Centered AI, March 2022.

Exhibit 3: Management Teams Are Increasingly Focused on Opportunities from AI on Company Earning Calls, and More Mentions of AI Predict Higher Capex



Source: GS Data Works, FactSet, Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

While much uncertainty remains around both the capability and adoption timeline of generative AI, these developments suggest that AI is well-positioned to advance rapidly and grow in scale in the coming years.

The Future of Work: Substitute Sometimes, Complement Often

Generative AI's ability to 1) generate new content that is indistinguishable from human-created output and 2) break down communication barriers between humans and machines reflects a major advancement with potentially large macroeconomic effects.


To assess the size of these effects, we consider the likely impact generative AI will have on the labor market if it delivers on its promised capabilities. In particular, we use data from the O*NET database on the task content of over 900 occupations in the US (and later extend to over 2000 occupations in the European ESCO database) to estimate the share of total work exposed to labor-saving automation by AI by occupation and industry.

Based on our review of existing literature on the probable use cases of generative AI, we classify 13 work activities (out of 39 in the O*NET database) as exposed to AI automation, and in our base case assume that AI is capable of completing tasks up to a difficulty of 4 on the 7-point O*NET "level" scale (see Appendix for more details). We then take an importance- and complexity-weighted average of essential work tasks for each occupation and estimate the share of each occupation's total workload that AI has the potential to replace. We further assume that occupations for which a significant share of workers' time is spent outdoors or performing physical labor cannot be automated by AI.

In [Exhibit 4](#), we report the occupation-level distribution of the share of tasks that AI can perform. We find that roughly two-thirds of US occupations are exposed to some


degree of automation by AI, and that of those occupations which are exposed, most have a significant but partial share of their workload (25-50%) that can be replaced.

Exhibit 4: Two-Thirds of Current Occupations Could be Partially Automated by AI



Source: Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research


Weighting our estimates by the employment share of each occupation in the US Occupational Employment and Wage Survey (OEWS) and aggregating to the industry level, we estimate that one-fourth of current work tasks could be automated by AI in the US ([Exhibit 5](#), top panel), with particularly high exposures in administrative (46%) and legal (44%) professions and low exposures in physically-intensive professions such as construction (6%) and maintenance (4%). Matching our occupation-level estimates to the European ISCO occupation classification system and performing a similar exercise for the Euro Area using the Eurostat Labor Force Survey (LFS) database yields estimates of a similar magnitude, both in aggregate and across industries ([Exhibit 5](#), bottom panel).

Exhibit 5: One-Fourth of Current Work Tasks Could Be Automated by AI in the US and Europe

Source: Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

We next extend our US and European estimates globally, adjusting for differences in industry composition across countries and further assuming that AI does not impact the agricultural sector in EM economies due to significant differences in the composition and production approaches in that industry between EM and DM economies.⁴ Our estimates intuitively suggest that fewer jobs in EMs are exposed to automation than in DMs, but that 18% of work globally could be automated by AI on an employment-weighted basis ([Exhibit 6](#)).


⁴ This assumption is conservative, and we could imagine a scenario in which AI improves the efficiency of agricultural logistics and production, particularly if AI and robotics interact to automate physical tasks.

Exhibit 6: Globally, 18% of Work Could be Automated by AI, with Larger Effects in DMs than EMs

Source: Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

Collectively, our estimates suggest that a large share of employment and work is at least partially exposed to automation by AI, raising the prospect of significant labor savings. To assess the robustness of our estimates, we compare our baseline US estimate to a wider range of scenarios, including those in which AI can perform more or less difficult tasks than we assume in our baseline, and in which we relax our assumption that AI cannot assist with jobs which are primarily outdoors or physical (i.e., a scenario in which AI is complementary with robotics and existing machinery). Our scenario analysis suggests that the ultimate share of work exposed to automation could range from 15-35% ([Exhibit 7](#), left panel), a range which is consistent with but on the conservative side of existing estimates in the literature ([Exhibit 7](#), right panel). Our relatively conservative baseline primarily reflects our narrower focus on the impact of generative AI, in contrast to other studies which sometimes consider a wider range of related technologies (including robotics) that increase the scope for automation.


Exhibit 7: Our Estimates Confirm that a Significant Share of Employment Is at Least Partially Exposed to Automation by AI, but Larger Effects Frequently Cited Include the Automation of Physical Tasks That Seem Less Likely in the Near-Term



Source: Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

Although the impact of AI on the labor market is likely to be significant, most jobs and industries are only partially exposed to automation and are thus more likely to be complemented rather than substituted by AI. In [Exhibit 8](#), we assume for illustration that jobs for which at least 50% of importance- and complexity-weighted tasks are exposed to automation are likely to be substituted by AI, while jobs with an exposure of 10-49% are more likely to be complemented, and jobs with a 0-9% exposure are unlikely to be impacted. In our baseline, these assumptions would be consistent with 7% of current US employment being substituted by AI, 63% being complemented, and 30% being unaffected, though the ultimate effects will depend on how labor demand and occupational workloads evolve in response to partial labor savings in the majority of occupations.

Exhibit 8: Replacement in Legal and Administrative Fields, Little Effect in Manual and Outdoor Jobs, and Productivity-Enhancement Everywhere Else



Source: Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research


Sizing the Boost to Productivity and Growth

The large share of employment exposed to automation from generative AI raises the potential for a boom in labor productivity that significantly increases global output. There are two main channels through which AI-driven automation could raise global GDP.

First, most workers are employed in occupations that are partially-exposed to AI automation and, following AI adoption, will likely apply at least some of their freed-up capacity toward productive activities that increase output.

Academic studies confirm that workers at early-adopting firms experience higher labor productivity growth following AI adoption, with estimates generally implying a 2-3pp/year boost ([Exhibit 9](#)). While differences in the capability of generative AI relative to earlier vintages make it hard to extrapolate these results forward, they clearly suggest that generative AI can drive an economically significant increase in productivity.

Exhibit 9: Academic Studies Generally Find That AI Adoption Increases Within-Firm Annual Worker Productivity Growth by 2-3pp



Source: Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

Second, we anticipate that many workers that are displaced by AI automation will eventually become reemployed and therefore boost total output in new occupations that emerge either directly from AI adoption or in response to the higher level of aggregate and labor demand generated by the productivity boost from non-displaced workers. Both of these channels have plenty of historical precedent. For example, information technology innovations introduced new occupations like webpage designers, software developers, and digital marketing professionals, but also increased aggregate income and indirectly drove demand for service sector workers in industries like health care, education, and food services.

To demonstrate how technological innovation that initially displaces workers drives employment growth over a long horizon, in [Exhibit 10](#) we show results from a recent study by economist David Autor and coauthors.⁵ Using Census data, they find that 60% of workers today are employed in occupations that did not exist in 1940, implying that over 85% of employment growth over the last 80 years is explained by the technology-driven creation of new positions.

⁵ Autor, David, Caroline Chin, Anna M. Salomons, and Bryan Seegmiller. New Frontiers: The Origins and Content of New Work, 1940–2018. No. w30389. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2022.

Exhibit 10: Technological Innovation Leads to the Creation of New Occupations That Account for the Bulk of Employment Growth




Source: Autor et al. (2022), Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

Exhibit 11 leverages a different academic study by economists Daren Acemoglu and Pascual Restrepo that decomposes changes in labor demand into contributions from productivity growth and technology-driven worker displacement and reemployment (among other factors) to show how the drivers of labor demand change over time.⁶

Technological change displaced workers and created new employment opportunities at roughly the same rate for the first half of the post-war period, but has displaced workers at a faster pace than it has created new opportunities since the 1980s. These results suggest that the direct effects of generative AI on labor demand could be negative in the near-term if AI affects the labor market in a manner similar to earlier advances in information technology, although the effects on labor productivity growth would still be positive.

⁶ Acemoglu, Daron and Pascual Restrepo. "Automation and new tasks: How technology displaces and reinstates labor." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 33, no. 2 (2019): 3-30.

Exhibit 11: Historically, Worker Displacement from Automation Was Roughly Offset by Creation of New Roles/Tasks Prior to 1980, but Displacement Has Created a Net Drag on Labor Demand More Recently




Source: Acemoglu and Restrepo (2019), Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

The combination of significant labor cost savings, new job creation, and a productivity boost for non-displaced workers raises the possibility of a labor productivity boom like those that followed the emergence of earlier general-purpose technologies like the electric motor and personal computer. These past experiences offer two key lessons.

First, the timing of a labor productivity boom is hard to predict, but in both cases started about 20 years after the technological breakthrough, at a point when roughly half of US businesses had adopted the technology ([Exhibit 12](#), left panel). Second, in both of these instances, labor productivity growth rose by around 15pp/year in the 10 years after the productivity boom started, suggesting that the labor productivity gains can be quite substantial ([Exhibit 12](#), right panel).

Exhibit 12: Previous Milestone Technologies Have Led to Labor Productivity Booms, but the Timing Is Hard to Predict



Source: US Bureau of Labor Statistics, Census Bureau, Our World in Data, Woolf (1987), Haver Analytics, Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

To estimate the boost to labor productivity in the US from widespread adoption of generative AI, in [Exhibit 13](#) we sum up the implied labor productivity effects from direct labor cost savings, a productivity boost for non-displaced workers, and a composition effect from the reemployment of displaced workers in new positions.


Our baseline analysis incorporates our key findings from above, including that about 7% of workers are fully displaced but that most are able to find new employment in only slightly less productive positions, that partially exposed workers experience a boost in productivity consistent with existing estimates ([Exhibit 9](#)), and that effects are realized over a 10-year period that starts around the time when roughly half of businesses have adopted generative AI. Under these assumptions we estimate that widespread adoption of generative AI could raise overall labor productivity growth by around 1.5pp/year (vs. a recent 1.5% average growth pace), roughly the same-sized boost that followed the emergence of prior transformative technologies like the electric motor and personal computer.

This estimated boost to labor productivity growth is both admittedly quite large and highly uncertain. [Exhibit 13](#) therefore also considers other plausible scenarios and shows that the boost to US productivity growth could easily range from 0.3-3.0pp depending on the difficulty level of tasks generative AI can perform, how many jobs are ultimately automated, and the speed of adoption:

- n First, we vary the O*NET difficulty level of the tasks that AI is capable of completing. In a much less powerful AI scenario where, for example, generative AI is only ultimately able to skim a short article to gather the main point (difficulty score 2) rather than determine the interest cost to finance a new building (difficulty score 4), the implied labor productivity growth boost would fall to 0.3pp/year. If AI is instead more powerful and is able to, again for example, analyze the cost of medical care services for all US hospitals (difficulty score 6), the implied labor productivity growth boost would rise to 2.9pp/year.
- n Second, we vary the amount of labor that is fully displaced by generative AI. Assuming no labor displacement implies only a moderately smaller productivity growth boost of 1.2pp/year because non-displaced workers would still experience significant productivity gains, while assuming that a much larger share of workers are displaced would raise the boost to productivity growth to 2.4pp/year.
- n Third, we vary the timeline of adoption. The productivity growth boost would only be roughly half as large if the gains are realized over a 20-year period and one-third as large if realized over a 30-year period.

Our key takeaway from these analyses is that the ultimate boost to labor productivity is uncertain, but in most scenarios would remain economically significant.

Exhibit 13: We Estimate That Generative AI Could Boost Aggregate Labor Productivity Growth by 1.5pp in the US, Although the Size of the Boost Will Depend on AI Capability and Adoption Timeline



Source: Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

In [Exhibit 14](#) we extrapolate our analysis for the US to other countries under the assumption that differences in the industry-composition of labor can account for most of the differences in labor productivity growth. Our estimates imply that AI adoption could boost global annual productivity growth for countries in our coverage by 1.4pp (FX-weighted average) over a 10-year period, although we would likely expect a more delayed impact in EM economies.

Exhibit 14: Productivity Growth Boosts Could Be Sizable in Other Countries As Well; We Estimate Widespread AI Adoption Could Boost Global Annual Productivity Growth by 1.4pp Over a 10-Year Period



Source: Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

Applying our estimated global labor productivity boost to countries in our coverage implies that widespread AI adoption could eventually drive a 7% or almost \$7tn increase

in annual global GDP over a 10-year period. Although the size of AI's impact will ultimately depend on its capability and adoption timeline and uncertainty around both of these factors is sufficiently high that we are not incorporating our findings into our baseline economic forecasts at this time, our estimates highlight the enormous economic potential of generative AI if it delivers on its promise.

Joseph Briggs

Devesh Kodnani

Appendix

Our Baseline Assumes Tasks in 13 Categories Up to a Difficulty Level of 4 Could Be Automated

AI-Exposed Work Activity	Examples of Automation	Examples of Tasks by Difficulty (O*NET 1-7 Scale)
Getting Information	Web scrape data from online sources and consolidate into a clean dataset; conduct and summarize a review of prior research based on a textual query and answer follow-up questions	2: Follow a standard blueprint 4: Review a budget 6: Study international tax laws
Monitoring Processes, Materials, or Surroundings	Monitor sensor input and system logs for manufacturing and utilities system anomalies; monitor internet activity for changes in sentiment or trending themes	2: Check to see if baking bread is done 4: Test electrical circuits 6: Check the status of a patient in critical medical care
Identifying Objects, Actions, and Events	Identify objects, music, terminology, and people when provided with textual/visual/auditory input; provide context on identified subject	2: Test an automobile transmission 4: Judge the suitability of food products for an event 6: Determine the reaction of a virus to a new drug
Estimating the Quantifiable Characteristics of Products, Events, or Information	Produce market size estimates based on assumptions grounded in existing research; estimate parameters using statistical modeling on input data and select optimal model	2: Estimate the size of household furniture to be shipped 4: Estimate transportation delays from inclement weather 6: Estimate the size of resource deposits beneath the world's oceans
Processing Information	Process raw data from documents, sensors, and humans into clean datafiles that are easily subscribable for analysis; provide summaries of data relevant to user needs	2: Calculate the costs for shipping packages 4: Calculate the adjustments for insurance claims 6: Compile data for a complex scientific report
Evaluating Information to Determine Compliance with Standards	Review documents and proposed actions for compliance with legal, regulatory, and corporate standards; provide arguments and scenarios for and against compliance in unclear cases	1: Review forms for completeness 4: Evaluate a complicated insurance claim for policy compliance 6: Make a ruling in court on a complicated motion
Analyzing Data or Information	Perform statistical analysis of and identify trends within large datasets; forecast future data based on optimal combination of variables and model with best out-of-sample predictive power	1: Skim a short article to gather the main point 4: Determine the interest cost to finance a new building 6: Analyze the cost of medical care services for all US hospitals
Updating and Using Relevant Knowledge	Draft and update reports in corporate knowledge base; update statistical and financial models based on new data which challenges prior scenarios/assumptions	2: Track price changes in a small retail store 4: Track changes in maintenance procedures for repairing SUVs 6: Learn information about a complex and rapidly-changing technology
Scheduling Work and Activities	Automatically schedule meetings and work activities using availabilities and emails; assign tasks and estimate time to completion based on past experience	2: Make appointments for patients using a predetermined schedule 4: Prepare the work schedule for salesclerks in a large retail store 6: Schedule a complex conference program with parallel sessions
Organizing, Planning, and Prioritizing Work	Delegate and prioritize tasks based on time to completion and importance; identify gaps or bottlenecks in work plans and target resources or managerial attention	2: Organize a work schedule that is repetitive and easy to plan 4: Plan and adjust a to-do list according to changing demands 6: Prioritize and plan multiple tasks several months ahead
Documenting/Recording Information	Transcribe and summarize the content of in-person meetings; write system reports based on sensor and human data input	2: Record the weight of a patient during a routine health exam 4: Document the results of a crime scene investigation 6: Maintain information about satellite use for industry communications
Interpreting the Meaning of Information for Others	Explain the structure and function of code or statistical results in easy-to-understand language; translate code and text between languages; summarize and contextualize text with technical jargon	1: Interpret a blood pressure reading 4: Interpret how foreign tax laws apply to U.S. exports 6: Interpret a complex experiment in physics for general audiences
Performing Administrative Activities	Draft automated email responses; schedule and manage meetings and work calendars; file and organize paperwork; book reservations	2: Complete routine paperwork 4: Complete tax forms for a small business 6: Serve as the benefits director for a large computer sales firm

Source: O*NET, Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

Disclosure Appendix

Reg AC

We, Jan Hatzius, Joseph Briggs, Devesh Kodhani and Giovanni Pierdomenico, hereby certify that all of the views expressed in this report accurately reflect our personal views, which have not been influenced by considerations of the firm's business or client relationships.

Unless otherwise stated, the individuals listed on the cover page of this report are analysts in Goldman Sachs's Global Investment Research division.

Disclosures

Regulatory disclosures

Disclosures required by United States laws and regulations

See company-specific regulatory disclosures above for any of the following disclosures required as to companies referred to in this report: manager or co-manager in a pending transaction; 1% or other ownership; compensation for certain services; types of client relationships; managed/co-managed public offerings in prior periods; directorships; for equity securities, market making and/or specialist role. Goldman Sachs trades or may trade as a principal in debt securities (or in related derivatives) of issuers discussed in this report.

The following are additional required disclosures: **Ownership and material conflicts of interest:** Goldman Sachs policy prohibits its analysts, professionals reporting to analysts and members of their households from owning securities of any company in the analyst's area of coverage.

Analyst compensation: Analysts are paid in part based on the profitability of Goldman Sachs, which includes investment banking revenue.

Analyst as officer or director: Goldman Sachs policy generally prohibits its analysts, persons reporting to analysts or members of their households from serving as an officer, director or advisor of any company in the analyst's area of coverage.

Non-U.S. Analysts: Non-U.S. analysts may not be subject to FINRA Rule 2241 or FINRA Rule 2242 restrictions on communications with subject company, public appearances and trading securities held by the analysts.

Additional disclosures required under the laws and regulations of jurisdictions other than the United States

The following disclosures are those required by the jurisdiction indicated, except to the extent already made above pursuant to United States laws and regulations. **Australia:** Goldman Sachs Australia Pty Ltd and its affiliates are not authorised deposit-taking institutions (as that term is defined in the Banking Act 1959 (Cth)) in Australia and do not provide banking services, nor carry on a banking business, in Australia. This research, and any access to it, is intended only for wholesale clients within the meaning of the Australian Corporations Act, unless otherwise agreed by Goldman Sachs. In producing research reports, members of Global Investment Research of Goldman Sachs Australia may attend site visits and other meetings hosted by the companies and other entities which are the subject of its research reports. In some instances the costs of such site visits or meetings may be met in part or in whole by the issuers concerned if Goldman Sachs Australia considers it is appropriate and reasonable in the specific circumstances relating to the site visit or meeting. To the extent that the contents of this document contains any financial product advice, it is general advice only and has been prepared by Goldman Sachs without taking into account a client's objectives, financial situation or needs. A client should be acting on any such advice, consider the appropriateness of the advice having regard to the client's own objectives, financial situation and a copy of certain Goldman Sachs Australia and New Zealand disclosure of interests and a copy of Goldman Sachs's Australian Sell-Side Research Independence Policy Statement are available at: <https://www.goldmansachs.com/disclosures/australia-new-zealand/index.html>. **Brazil:** Disclosure information in relation to CVM Resolution n. 20 is available at <https://www.gs.com/worldwide/brazil/area/gir/index.html>. Where applicable, the Brazil-registered analyst primarily responsible for the content of this research report, as defined in Article 20 of CVM Resolution n. 20, is the first author and the beginning of this report, unless indicated otherwise at the end of the text. **Canada:** This information is being provided to you for information purposes only and is not, and under no circumstances should be construed as, an advertisement, offering or solicitation by Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC for purchasers of securities in Canada to trade in any Canadian security. Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC is not registered as a dealer in any jurisdiction in Canada under applicable Canadian securities laws and generally is not permitted to trade in Canadian securities and may be prohibited from selling certain securities and products in certain jurisdictions in Canada. If you wish to trade in any Canadian securities or other products in Canada please contact Goldman Sachs Canada Inc., an affiliate of The Goldman Sachs Group Inc., or another registered Canadian dealer. **Hong Kong:** Further information on the securities of covered companies referred to in this research may be obtained on request from Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C. **India:** Further information on the subject company or companies referred to in this research may be obtained from Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited, Research Analyst - SEBI Registration Number INH000001493, 951-A, Rational House, Appasaheb Marathe Marg, Prabhadevi, Mumbai 400 025, India, Corporate Identity Number U74140MH2006FTC160634, Phone +91 22 6616 9000, Fax +91 22 6616 9001. Goldman Sachs may beneficially own 1% or more of the securities (as such term is defined in clause 2 (h) of the Indian Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956) of the subject company or companies referred to in this research report. **Japan:** See below. **Korea:** This research, and any access to it, is intended only for professional investors within the meaning of the Financial Services and Capital Markets Act, unless otherwise agreed by Goldman Sachs. Further information on the subject company or companies referred to in this research may be obtained from Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C., Seoul Branch. **New Zealand:** Goldman Sachs New Zealand Limited and its affiliates are neither registered banks nor deposit takers (as defined in the Reserve Bank Act 1990) in New Zealand. This research, and any access to it, is intended for wholesale clients (as defined in the Financial Advisers Act 2008) unless otherwise agreed by Goldman Sachs. A copy of certain Goldman Sachs Australia and New Zealand disclosure of interests is available at: <https://www.goldmansachs.com/disclosures/australia-new-zealand/index.html>. **Russia:** Research reports distributed in the Russian Federation are not advertising as defined in the Russian legislation, but are information and analysis not having product promotion as their main purpose and do not provide appraisal within the meaning of the Russian legislation on appraisal activity. Research reports do not constitute a personalized investment recommendation as defined in Russian laws and regulations, are not addressed to a specific client, and are prepared without taking into account the financial circumstances, investment profiles or risk profiles of clients. Goldman Sachs assumes no responsibility for any investment decision that may be taken by a client or any other person based on this research report. **Singapore:** Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte. (Company Number: 198602165W), which is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore, accepts legal responsibility for this research, and should be contacted with respect to any matters arising from, or in connection with, this research. **Taiwan:** This material is for reference only and must not be reprinted without permission. Investors should carefully consider their own investment risk. Investment results are the responsibility of the individual investor. **United Kingdom:** Persons who would be categorized as retail clients in the United Kingdom, as such term is defined in the rules of the Financial Conduct Authority, should read this research in conjunction with prior Goldman Sachs research on the covered companies referred to herein and should refer to the risk warnings that have been sent to them by Goldman Sachs International. A copy of these risks warnings, and a glossary of certain financial terms used in this report, are available from Goldman Sachs International on request.

European Union and United Kingdom: Disclosure information in relation to Article 6 (2) of the European Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) (2016/958) supplementing Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council (including as that Delegated Regulation is implemented into United Kingdom domestic law and regulation following the United Kingdom's departure from the European Union) and the European Economic Area) with regard to regulatory technical standards for the technical arrangements for objective presentation of investment recommendations or other information recommending or suggesting an investment strategy and for disclosure of particular interests or indications of

conflicts of interest is available <https://www.gs.com/disclosures/europeanpolicy.html> which states the European Policy for Managing Conflicts of Interest in Connection with Investment Research.

Japan: Goldman Sachs Japan Co., Ltd. is a Financial Instrument Dealer registered with the Kanto Financial Bureau under registration number Kinsho 69, and a member of Japan Securities Dealers Association, Financial Futures Association of Japan Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association, The Investment Trusts Association, Japan, and Japan Investment Advisers Association. Sales and purchase of equities are subject to commission pre-determined with clients plus consumption tax. See company-specific disclosures as to any applicable disclosures required by Japanese stock exchanges, the Japanese Securities Dealers Association or the Japanese Securities Finance Company.

Global product; distributing entities

Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research produces and distributes research products for clients of Goldman Sachs on a global basis. Analysts based in Goldman Sachs offices around the world produce research on industries and companies, and research on macroeconomics, currencies, commodities and portfolio strategy. This research is disseminated in Australia by Goldman Sachs Australia Pty Ltd (ABN 21 006 797 897); in Brazil by Goldman Sachs do Brasil Corretora de Títulos e Valores Mobiliários S.A.; Public Communication Channel Goldman Sachs Brazil: 0800 727 5764 and contatogoldmanbrasil@gs.com. Available Weekdays (except holidays), from 9am to 6pm. Canal de Comunicação com o Público Goldman Sachs Brasil: 0800 727 5764 e/ou contatogoldmanbrasil@gs.com. Horário de funcionamento: segunda-feira à sexta-feira (exceto feriados), das 9h às 18h; in Canada by Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC; in Hong Kong by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.; in India by Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Ltd.; in Japan by Goldman Sachs Japan Co., Ltd.; in the Republic of Korea by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C., Seoul Branch; in New Zealand by Goldman Sachs New Zealand Limited; in Russia by OOO Goldman Sachs; in Singapore by Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte. (Company Number: 198602165W); and in the United States of America by Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC. Goldman Sachs International has approved this research in connection with its distribution in the United Kingdom.

Goldman Sachs International (GSI), authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and the PRA, has approved this research in connection with its distribution in the United Kingdom.

European Economic Area: GSI, authorised by the PRA and regulated by the FCA and the PRA, disseminates research in the following jurisdictions within the European Economic Area: the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, Italy, the Kingdom of Belgium, the Kingdom of Denmark, the Kingdom of Norway, the Republic of Finland and the Republic of Ireland; GSI - Succursale de Paris (Paris branch) which is authorised by the French Autorité de contrôle prudentiel et de résolution (ACPR) and regulated by the Autorité de contrôle prudentiel et de résolution (ACPR) and the Autorité des marchés financiers (AMF) disseminates research in France; GSI - Sucursal en España (Madrid branch) authorized in Spain by the Comisión Nacional de Mercado de Valores disseminates research in the Kingdom of Spain; GSI - Sweden Bank AB (Stockholm branch) is authorized by the SFS as a third country branch in accordance with Chapter 4, Section 4 of the Swedish Securities and Market Act (Sw. lag (2007:528) om värdepappersmarknaden) disseminates research in the Kingdom of Sweden; Goldman Sachs Bank Europe SE (GSBE) is a credit institution incorporated in Germany, within the Single Supervisory Mechanism, subject to direct prudential supervision by the European Central Bank and in other respects supervised by German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, BaFin) and Deutsche Bundesbank and disseminates research in the Federal Republic of Germany and those jurisdictions within the European Economic Area where GSI is not authorised to disseminate research and additionally, GSBE, Copenhagen Branch (GSBE, Tyskland, supervised by the Danish Financial Authority disseminates research in the Kingdom of Denmark; GSBE - Sucursal en España (Madrid branch) subject (to a limited extent) to local supervision by the Bank of Spain disseminates research in the Kingdom of Spain; GSBE - Succursale Italia (Milan branch) to the relevant applicable extent, subject to local supervision by the Bank of Italy (Banca d'Italia) and the Italian Companies and Exchange Commission (Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa Consob) disseminates research in Italy; GSBE - Succursale de Paris (Paris branch), supervised by the AMF and by the ACPR disseminates research in France; and GSBE - Sweden Bank AB (Stockholm branch), to a limited extent, subject to local supervision by the Swedish Financial Supervisory Authority (Finansinspektionen) disseminates research in the Kingdom of Sweden.

General disclosures

This research is for our clients only. Other than disclosures relating to Goldman Sachs, this research is based on current public information that we consider reliable, but we do not represent it is accurate or complete, and it should not be relied on as such. The information, opinions, estimates and forecasts contained herein are as of the date hereof and are subject to change without prior notification. We seek to update our research as appropriate, but various regulations may prevent us from doing so. Other than certain industry reports published on a periodic basis, the large majority of reports are published at irregular intervals as appropriate in the analyst's judgment.

Goldman Sachs conducts a global full-service, integrated investment banking, investment management, and brokerage business. We have investment banking and other business relationships with a substantial percentage of the companies covered by Global Investment Research. Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC, the United States broker dealer, is a member of SIPC (<https://www.sipc.org>).

Our salespeople, traders, and other professionals may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to our clients and principal trading desks that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed in this research. Our asset management area, principal trading desks and investing businesses may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations or views expressed in this research.

We and our affiliates, officers, directors, and employees will from time to time have long or short positions in, act as principal and buy or sell, the securities or derivatives, if any, referred to in this research, unless otherwise prohibited by regulation or Goldman Sachs policy.

The views attributed to third party presenters at Goldman Sachs arranged conferences, including individuals from other parts of Goldman Sachs, do not necessarily reflect those of Global Investment Research and are not an official view of Goldman Sachs.

Any third party referenced herein, including any salespeople, traders and other professionals or members of their household, may have positions in the products mentioned that are inconsistent with the views expressed by analysts named in this report.

This research is focused on investment themes across markets, industries and sectors. It does not attempt to distinguish between the prospects or performance of, or provide analysis of, individual companies within any industry or sector we describe.

Any trading recommendation in this research relating to an equity or credit security or securities within an industry or sector is reflective of the investment theme being discussed and is not a recommendation of any such security in isolation.

This research is not an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any jurisdiction where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. It does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of individual clients. Clients should consider whether any advice or recommendation in this research is suitable for their particular circumstances and, if appropriate, seek professional advice, including tax advice. The price and value of investments referred to in this research and the income from them may fluctuate. Past performance is not a guide to future performance, future returns are not guaranteed, and a loss of original capital may occur. Fluctuations in exchange rates could have adverse effects on the value or price of, or income derived from, certain investments.

Certain transactions, including those involving futures, options, and other derivatives, give rise to substantial risk and are not suitable for all investors. Investors should review current options and futures disclosure documents which are available from Goldman Sachs sales representatives or at

<https://www.theocc.com/about/publications/character-risks.jsp> and

https://www.ifaadocumentation.org/ifaadocumentation/regulatory-disclosures_1/ifaadocumentation/uniform-futures-and-options-on-futures-risk-disclosures-uniform-futures-and-options-on-futures-risk-disclosures-2018.

Transaction costs may be significant in option strategies calling for multiple purchase and sales of options such as spreads. Supporting documentation will be supplied upon request.

Differing Levels of Service provided by Global Investment Research: The level and types of services provided to you by Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research may vary as compared to that provided to internal and other external clients of GS, depending on various factors including your individual preferences as to the frequency and manner of receiving communication, your risk profile and investment focus and perspective (e.g., marketwide, sector specific, long term, short term), the size and scope of your overall client relationship with GS, and legal and regulatory constraints. As an example, certain clients may request to receive notifications when research on specific securities is published, and certain clients may request that specific data underlying analysts' fundamental analysis available on our internal client websites be delivered to them electronically through data feeds or otherwise. No change to an analyst's fundamental research views (e.g., ratings, price targets, or material changes in earnings estimates for equity securities), will be communicated to any client prior to inclusion of such information in a research report broadly disseminated through electronic publication to our internal client websites or through other means, as necessary, to all clients who are entitled to receive such reports.

All research reports are disseminated and available to all clients simultaneously through electronic publication to our internal client websites. Not all research content is redistributed to our clients or available to third-party aggregators, nor is Goldman Sachs responsible for the redistribution of our research by third party aggregators. For research, models or other data related to one or more securities, markets or asset classes (including related services) that may be available to you, please contact your GS representative or go to <https://research.gs.com>.

Disclosure information is also available at <https://www.gs.com/research/hedge.html> or from Research Compliance, 200 West Street, New York, NY 10282.

©2023 Goldman Sachs.

No part of this material may be (i) copied, photocopied or duplicated in any form by any means or (ii) redistributed without the prior written consent of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.